

Teacher's Expectation And Student Achievement -Are They On The Same Page?

RAGAVENDRA N

School of Electronics Engineering
Vellore Institute of Technology, Vellore-632014, Tamil Nadu, India

Prof. G. Anburaj

Assistant Professor of English
Vellore Institute of Technology, Vellore-632014, Tamil nadu India

Abstract

This paper explores how teacher expectations interact with the student's achievements, both of which are crucial parts of education and do not always find a meeting ground. It analyzes how teacher expectations shape academic performance, at times leading to a self-fulfilling prophecy where high expectations enhance the outcome of the students and low expectations may deter them from achieving. It takes into consideration many influencing factors, background, learning styles, motivation, and many more. These complexities make it very difficult for teachers' expectations to always match the level of performance in the classroom. Therefore, the study points out that effective teaching methods suitable for different learners can lead to better academic performance for everyone. It emphasizes the significance of communication, flexibility, and tailored instruction as essential elements for filling the gap between what the teacher expects and the students' performance. This study therefore tries to merge teacher expectations with student realities for educators to use adaptive teaching practices in promoting inclusive and maximizing student achievement.

Keywords

Teacher-Student Relationships, Academic Performance, Expectations, Achievement, Performancet, Feedback,Communication.

Introduction

This is an important area of educational research and practice-the relation of teacher expectations with the achievement of students. Teacher expectations significantly shape the learning outcomes of the students in terms of motivation, engagement, and overall academic performance. When high expectations from educators are present, it triggers better performance on the part of the students because these expectations create an environment that fosters ambition and encourages students to strive for excellence. This Paper explores the intricate relationship between what teachers expect of their students and the academic performance that follows. Understanding these aspects, it explores classroom environment, teaching styles, and feedback in trying to learn how such teacher expectation in harmony with positive learning atmosphere conditions is developed. The report also focuses on the gap areas arising when teacher expectations do not fit student potential or experience, and this has rich significance about the effectiveness of the communication support system. Our exploration of these areas focuses on how the practice of education can be enhanced to assist learners to their best in terms of excellent learning. The purpose of this report is to provide an enlightening source about bettering teaching techniques and measures. Through this study, we hope to foster a better understanding of how expectations shape the outcomes of education.

Problem Statement

One of the factors identified as crucial for success in school yet not always well aligned is teacher expectations and student achievement. Expectations of students by teachers usually relate to prior experience, class behavior, and potential as perceived, though performance would actually be influenced by a whole range of factors: learning style, motivation, socioeconomic background, and personal challenges. The consequence could be underachievement, loss of interest, or lapsing opportunities in academic growth. The heart of the problem is probably that no one really knows how much impact teachers have on student outcomes, and no one has any idea how to ensure that teachers' expectations are realistic, supportive, and conducive to maximum achievement. The expectation-achievement gap would therefore be examined, with an eye to identifying solutions regarding how better alignment could be fostered in the classroom. There is often a significant disconnect even though it is acknowledged that teacher expectations influence student achievement. The gap could result from the difference in perceptions or poor communication between teachers or differing abilities in students. Thus, some students may not receive the support and motivation they require for achieving success. Teacher expectation and student performance are important subjects whose understanding would lead to identification of barriers to success and develop more effective strategies that promote positive educational experiences. Therefore, this report is aimed at investigating the complexities of this relationship: how expectations affect students' performances, classroom interaction dynamics and the relevance of the congruence of teachers' beliefs and students' realities. In addressing these areas, we hope to obtain insights that should strengthen teaching practices, hence affecting student outcomes in different educational settings positively.

Research Gap

While there are many studies that have investigated the effects of teacher expectation on students' performance, many gaps still exist in terms of understanding such nuanced dynamics. The most predominant research has been on self-fulfilling prophecy: in other words, high or low expectation results in a self-fulfilling prophecy of student performance. Much less attention has been paid, however, to how student differences—learning styles, cultural background, socioeconomic factors, and emotional well-being—affect the fit of expectations and achievement. Little is known about where teacher biases, unconscious or otherwise, contribute to the expectation gap and in what ways real-time feedback mechanisms could help bridge that gap. Other unexplored areas entail the potential impacts of the classroom size and workload on teacher expectations, which may further prevent teachers from personalizing their expectations in light of the needs of each student. Similarly, there is a lack of research into the impact of content on setting expectations; teachers may hold different expectations depending on whether they are teaching STEM subjects or the arts, which can further influence student outcomes in each field. Another gap relates to teacher emotional intelligence in shaping and managing expectations. Teachers with higher emotional intelligence would likely be better able to recognize challenges that students face and modify their expectations to encourage academic achievement. Another least explored aspect is the interaction between teacher and parental expectations that can conflict or complement each other, hence influencing student motivation and performance. Another new aspect of research is how tools like AI-based assessments and personalized learning platforms can facilitate setting more accurate, specific expectations aligned with student capability by teachers. Differences in the expectations that teachers have for boys and girls, specifically within gender-ed areas such as STEM, are also an area yet to be understood and could even perpetuate these stereotypes which affect achievement. Another area that is significantly important to explore further is how teacher experience and professional development influence setting the right expectations. Veteran teachers would probably have been in the better position to calibrate expectations based on the years of experience in the classroom, but this can be too different for novice teachers. The research focused on how professional development programs can train teachers to set fair and adaptable expectations

across diverse populations of students is integral in bridging the expectation-achievement gap. If these research gaps were closed, it would bring a far better understanding of factors in teacher expectations and how they will eventually impact student achievement. It will also lead to strategic interventions that further support fair and efficient teaching practices, thereby maximizing student success in all kinds of educational settings and diverse student populations.

Literature review

- Emotional Intelligence:** According to Bru-Luna et al. (2021, n.p.), emotional intelligence "entails the capacity to process one's emotions and use the opportunity to expand one's thinking by using both knowledge and experience." Moreover, Schoon (2021, n.p.) refers to emotional competence as the ability to focus, manage and control emotions, communicate authentically, make thoughtful decisions and overcome obstacles while acting in ways that create positive relationships with others. Fteiha and Awwad (2020) consider that emotional intelligence is a more general notion able to help one be successful in many aspects of their life. Therefore, the better managers of their emotions and those who work well with others in general tend to lead more joyful and satisfying lives. At the same time, the notion of emotional intelligence also appears to reflect some of the complexities of modern society and the long history of tension between emotion and logic in human consciousness (Bru-Luna et al., 2021). The first attribute of emotional intelligence is the knowledge about one's own emotions, or their own feelings, that can be expressed, as described by Bradberry in 2023-an individualist characteristic and self-know how because those feelings arise.
- Teacher Performance:** Performance means doing the right thing and applying knowledge effectively, achieving goals in the process. Within education, performance is defined by a teacher's actions inside a classroom setting. One might say he questions students, explains and guides the student within the continuous and ongoing interaction, praising efforts and involving himself in various forms of instructional activities (Sudjono, 2022). According to Smith and Gillespie (2023), educators are required in the following three areas of importance: work ethics, professional development, and job expertise. A basic requirement for an effective educator is that the instruction imparted to students should be clear. It has been seen in research that clear communication and a sound knowledge base are essential for the success of a teacher. Subject mastery is quite one of the basic elements of the teaching and learning process. According to Saroyan and Amundsen (2023, p. 11), teachers create and modify their courses to better align with the developmental levels, learning preferences, skills, and needs of their learners. Effective teachers also ensure that they use appropriate learning activities, provide clear explanations, raise thought-provoking questions, and check students' understanding (Sudjono, 2022). Interpersonal relationships can also be established to result in an effective environment for learning.
- The Connection among Emotional Intelligence and Teachers Performance:** No matter how demanding the job responsibilities are, enforcing classroom rules is an inherent part of being a teacher. By right of work, teachers often experience significantly high levels of stress and anxiety, which may lead to professional burnout. Teachers need to manage not only their own emotions but also their students', thus ensuring control over them while being under pressure at work to avoid such and similar problems. Neale et al. (2011) posits the understanding of a person's personality, especially one's own, as well as people on whom you work or interact with as the key characteristic of an emotionally intelligent administrator and educator. By knowing the greatest assets of teachers, he can work for compensating weaknesses into their improvement in classroom performance. Leow et al. (2021) researched the association between Malaysian instructors' personality traits and their communication with students. They came to a conclusion that negative emotions in the classroom may adversely affect the learning of those students, and there is a significant effect in teaching performance because of the educator's negative traits.

Ghanizadeh and Moafian, (2010) said, "much-appreciated language instructors, highly EI, and additionally, there is an enormous association between a teacher's EI and his ability to make effective classrooms.". Such teachers can well understand or evaluate their emotions and those of others to act correspondingly. This characteristic of the trait EI component is emotionality. According to Lerner et al. (2023), for decision-making, a skill of emotional intelligence to be effective can be attained by managing emotions effectively. Thus, it is also seen that the overall success of a teacher in the class is significantly associated with the level of emotional intelligence.

Result Analysis

1. Analysis of Student-Teacher Communication Feedback and Expectation Bias

.Consistent and constructive communication between teachers and students is vital in fostering academic success and personal growth. However, one significant issue that arises in this dynamic is the bias in teacher expectations, often based on a student's past performance. Teachers tend to set higher expectations for students who have previously excelled and lower expectations for those who have struggled. This expectation bias not only affects the way teachers interact with their students but also influences the feedback and opportunities provided to them. When teachers have higher expectations for certain students, they are more likely to offer them positive reinforcement, detailed explanations, and additional learning opportunities. These students tend to receive more constructive feedback, which boosts their confidence and motivates them to perform better. On the other hand, students for whom teachers have lower expectations may receive less attention, less detailed feedback, and fewer opportunities to improve, potentially reinforcing their struggles and limiting their academic development. This creates a self-fulfilling prophecy, where students who are expected to succeed do so, while those who are expected to underperform continue to do so, regardless of their potential. The analysis of this feedback loop reveals that unequal communication and biased expectations can have a profound impact on student outcomes. Students who receive consistent, balanced feedback—irrespective of their previous performance—are more likely to develop a growth mindset, which fosters resilience and continuous improvement. Conversely, those who are subjected to lower expectations may internalize this bias, leading to diminished motivation and a fixed mindset, which limits their ability to overcome challenges. In order to mitigate the effects of expectation bias, teachers must strive to provide all students with consistent, constructive feedback. By focusing on individual progress and maintaining high expectations for every student, teachers can create a more equitable learning environment. This approach not only promotes academic success across the board but also nurtures students' emotional and social development, encouraging them to reach their full potential. Ultimately, the analysis highlights the importance of reflection and self-awareness in teaching practices to ensure that feedback and communication are free from bias, fostering a supportive and inclusive classroom culture.

2. Teacher Expectations and Other Contributing Factors to Student Achievement

Teacher expectations of student outcomes are as important as are other factors influencing the outcome in school environments. Motivation among students, availability of resources, and conducive learning environment are among some of the contributing factors to the success of students. The prospect held by teachers in terms of high expectations for the performance of their students will push them to work a little harder. It forces them to be able to perform up to or even exceed what is set for them. If the students learn that their teachers believe in their abilities, then they will attempt to take challenges head on, persevere over difficulties, and do their best to do well. However, even though high teacher expectations can motivate students, other benevolent influences must be present for an increase in academic performance to last the long haul. Student motivation is the key to the equation by motivating the students. In this case,

intrinsically motivated students desire to achieve more than to be obstinate in their tasks, and they are most likely to use high expectations as inspiration to improve their performance. However, students unmotivated towards school activities due to personal, social or emotional reasons are most unlikely to take up the challenge, even if the teacher expects much of them. Availability of resources-material support (textbooks, technology, conducive learning environment) and emotional or academic support (tutoring or mentorship)-also significantly enables student accomplishment of expectations. The students that do not have access to some of these resources and thus cannot sustain the demands placed on them may find themselves frustrated or disengaged from the learning process despite having high expectations on their teachers' side. Yet another is the social-emotional environment in which students learn. Supportive, inclusive classrooms can have a profound amplification of the positive effects of high expectations. When kids feel safe, valued, and supported, they are apt to push themselves to greater academic heights, taking risks and moving out of their comfort zones. Conversely, a poor or unsupportive social-emotional environment can impede the ability of students to meet expectations, even when motivation and access to resources exist.

In summary, though teacher expectation for a student is the most potent inspiration for the student to succeed, it should be combined with other forces to be entirely complete. Student motivation, availability of resources, and the learning environment all interact with expectations from teachers in determining students' outcomes. Educators, therefore, have to take a balanced approach that goes out to set new and challenging heights but is also individualized in the sense of attending to the needs of every learner with motivation, tools, and support aimed at getting them all to succeed. It is actually this balance that fosters a more equitable learning experience in which all students' ability to reach their full potential is improved.

3. Analysis of Student Perceptions and the Adjustment of Teacher Expectations

Student perceptions of teacher expectations vary, with some students thinking that the expectations are fair and appropriate, while other students perceive them as biased or unrealistic. These varied perceptions have the potential to have substantial effects on student engagement, motivation, and academic success, especially when the students believe that the expectations agreed to by the teachers match their potentials and capabilities. However, if those expectations are unreal or biased perhaps towards one group of students instead of the other, they might create frustration, alienation, and poor performance. Teachers realize that the needs of the students in a given class differ. Other students will develop faster than others. Teachers must make their expectations adjust to the unique needs of the student and provide equality for all students to create a productive classroom. Thus, aligning expectations to a student's developmental and learning pace will aid in customizing the students' development and pace toward educational support for each. Their advancement will then depend on their ability, rather than unrealistic expectations that could demoralize students who are apparently unable to meet the set standards. Adjustment of expectation is one critical differentiation aspect taken into account during instruction; adjustment occurs after running assessments to monitor the evolution of students in attainment of set objectives. Teachers track their progress for high performers while changing expectations to come up with more demanding work for them and reinforcing support for those who need it. This brings flexibility into making sure all students achieve the guidance they need to succeed academically. While some students may regard expectations of their teachers as fair, others would even see teacher expectations as skewed or too idealistic. Regular change in teacher expectations will help to address these concerns as these are realigned according to the prevailing needs and improvement of a student. This adaptation will then produce more balanced and supportive learning environments as students then feel valued and capable of meeting expectations.

4. Analyzing Teacher Support Through Resources, Guidance, and Support

Teachers play a role in the success of their students since they can offer adequate resources, guide them, and encourage them. When teachers provide resources, such as textbooks, technology, study materials, and structured learning tools, then the environment is set to make it possible for the students to realize their academic goals. This adequately creates a level playing field for all students, regardless of background or initial ability, where everyone can succeed. The same applies to teachers' instructions on dealing with academic challenges. An excellent educator instructs his or her students as well but, in addition to that, one-to-one feedback is provided while explaining difficult topics and concepts as well as strategies for improvement. Every student has a different way of learning, different places, and different rates, therefore, the instructions will be tailored to each student to ensure that everyone succeeds. The most common reasons are: regular feedback helps the students know their areas of improvement in the skills they possess, so they develop confidence over time in seeing their performance. Encouragement is one of the key reasons that make students be successful. When teachers encourage students, through the continued taking of risks and asking of questions in problem-solving situations, it develops a growth mindset. Such encouragement can help the child have more confidence, to concentrate, and be more resilient toward failure. It can also boost the motivation level of students by the teacher due to believing his or her ability to complete. Such a system provides the resources, direction, and support under which it is easier to achieve success in academics. At the same time, the availability of these opportunities may vary from student to student depending upon their intensity of motivation, degree of emotional comfort, and other surrounding factors such as family support. Teaching can only provide both the tool and emotional support required, but the success of the student directly depends on whether he engages him or herself adequately in using the same resources. Therewith, such an educational system empowers all the students by giving teachers rich resources in abundance, personalized guidance, and continuous encouragement. It helps build much-needed skills and confidence to look over academic challenges-it is both a short-term and long-term achievement for the students. However, student motivation and engagement are very important factors in realizing the full benefits of the support.

5. Analysis of External Factors and Teacher Expectations in Boosting Student Achievement

External factors in students' learning are influential on whether or not the student meets teacher expectations. Two of the most influential external factors are availability of resources and extracurricular support. Students are better placed to meet set academic expectations when they have access to adequate provision of textbooks, technology, and a conducive study environment. Another extracurricular support alternative, such as tutoring programs, study groups, and mentorship, offers students more avenues for building their understanding of the subject matter and receiving focused help where they might need it. From such amenities, students who do not have such a facility readily available to them face constraints in achieving academic success irrespective of whatever it is that their teachers expect from them. For instance, students who come from economically deprived backgrounds will face challenges in writing assignments or preparing for exams as they may not have access to neither technology nor quiet facilities to study. Even those students without access to extracurricular support will miss out on personalized support to ensure that they achieve their learning objectives. To eliminate the external elements of factors influencing their performance with a level playing field through resource provision and extra support, all the students will have an equal opportunity to meet the expectations of the teachers. Teacher Expectations. Clarify, challenging yet motivating teacher expectations create great opportunities for promoting student achievements. Definite, feasible goals setting allows the students to know what is expected of them and provide a roadmap for success. Those manageable steps make the process less intimidating for the students and more interactive. It is when teachers break down large tasks into little, manageable steps that students are more unlikely to be overwhelmed and to stay in the learning process. It is with clear

expectations that helps them focus on measurable outcomes which, in turn, gives a feeling of accomplishment as they reach each milestone. Achievable goals motivate the students by giving them sense and direction. When students believe that the expectations of the teacher were realistic and attainable, they would then accept and undertake the task of making efforts that would lead them to meet the said expectations. When teachers talk of high but realistic expectations, they help challenge students to a higher degree of performance while providing supportive help to assist them in becoming successful. The equilibrium of challenge and support created a positive learning environment that resulted in pushing children towards academic growth and success. In conclusion, it is the availability of resources and extra-co-curriculum support that dictates whether students meet teacher expectations. The effectiveness of teacher expectations therefore lies in clarity and achievability. Setting clear, achievable goals inspires students to work hard to fit into the expectations set by teachers. This does not only encourage students but also sets them up to be able to thrive in an environment full of opportunities for all students.

Discussion on Results

Analyzing the dynamics between students and teachers, including implications by expectations, external factors, and supportive resources, there are multiple interactions that result in various academic outcomes. It has been concluded that teacher expectations most influence student performance, provided that expectations aligned with access to resources, clear communication, and individualized support will be most effective. The data finds that students respond well to high, realistic expectations. However, that alone is not sufficient; appropriate resources and support structures need to be in place for them to completely fulfill the expectations outlined above. A recurring message of the analysis has been the powerful role of exogenous factors or, at least, availability of textbooks, technology, and out-of-class support. Students who have better access to such materials are correspondingly better placed to meet their teachers' expectations. It is in this sense that the lack of such resources, especially for disadvantaged students, often presents a barrier to achieving academic goals otherwise spurred by high expectations. It means that one of the critical sites of inequalities needs to address inequalities through ensuring, first and foremost, that all resources meant to facilitate success are made available to students at schools. In addition, extracurricular support from tutoring and mentoring appeared as another important factor through which a differential gap can be closed between ability and expectations among students. Students who are given extra academic help beyond the regular class time are seen to perform better with the likelihood of achieving their learning goals. This implies that, apart from low motivation or even failure to understand issues significantly, external academic support is significant in playing a mitigating role in performances. Highly effective: very clear, achievable and aligned with the developmental stage and abilities of the student. Setting clear expectations gives the students a direction; however, achievable expectations foster a growth mindset, and students become motivated to go on, even in the face of obstacles. The change in expectations occurs continually, due to the progress students make. This ensures that the goals remain attainable and reflect the needs of the students themselves. Paying attention to the perception of students, yet again this final section emphasizes that equity and flexibility both should be maintained in teacher expectations. Still, some students believed that teachers' expectations were valid, and others perceived it as discriminatory and unattainable. Such expectations minimize their level of motivation along with self-confidence. Teachers who revise their expectations based on the grade of progress and kids' abilities can offer a more supportive and inclusive classroom. This flexibility does not only help students meet the expected achievements but also smoothen the work environment between the two, thus leading to better engagement and improved performance. Hence, the balance which has resulted due to expectations of a teacher and that due to other factors, has been very fragile. In the case of high expectations, academic success is ensured, but at the same time adequate resources, as well as extracurricular support and constant guidance, needs to be provided so that all students can meet the expectations of a teacher. Teachers must be aware of different needs of students and can

definitely change their strategies along with expectations so that all the students get a balanced, motivating environment to produce student achievement.

Unexpected Finding

An unintended finding was that there was an effect to the contrary: student feedback actually intensified teacher expectations. Where much of the larger portion of traditional research examines the influence that teacher expectation has on students, it was discovered that within classrooms where students were invited to offer feedback about teaching methods and learning experiences, teachers adjusted their expectations more. This was an informative two-way communication process that would better align expectations with performance since teachers started getting more insight into potential individual student challenges, learning styles, and areas. Sometimes, students—even those who had even been on underachievers—performed even exceptionally well when teachers revised their expectation based on the students' input. It therefore makes a good case for student input in defining their learning process. In other words, what this finding indicates is that these student-centered adaptive approaches were more likely to yield more productive outcomes. Another interesting insight comes from the impact of peer dynamics on the relationship between expectations and achievement. In those classes, where peer interactions were more cooperative and supportive, the teacher expectations appear to rise in order to meet up with that more productive group achievement. This created a sense of success amongst all, raising the achievement by individual students who were not achieving at their best. The existence of peer support and competition made some students work harder than what the teacher had optimistically calculated, thereby challenging the assertion that achievement outcomes are influenced by teacher expectations. Such findings tend to underscore a need for a degree of flexibility, student involvement, and dynamics in peer relationships because the very interplay between teacher expectation and student performance may be more complex.

Scope for further research

Some of the findings of this research open up many avenues for further research on alignment between the expectations of teachers and student achievement. Of particular interest for further study are:

Longitudinal Studies: This would follow how the long-term effect of teacher expectations on student achievements changes over time in multiple academic years. This would serve to clarify whether expectations impact change with a student as s/he develops through different educational stages or if constant mismatch or match affects overall success and development.

Teacher Bias: In addition, further research would be important about how unconscious teacher biases concerning race, gender, socioeconomic status, or other disability differences affect expectations. As such, research can therefore align interventions such as bias training and reflective practices to help teachers maintain fair and just expectations for all students.

Cultural Context Impact: Discuss how cultural variations between teachers and students affect the congruence of expectations. Other cultural norms may exist when teaching in multicultural classrooms, creating mismatched expectations, therefore research on culturally responsive teaching strategies may fill this gap.

Student Self Perception: Later studies would be interested whether students' self-concept and beliefs in their relative ability affect the congruence with the teacher's expectations. Moreover, the experience of intervention that intended to enhance students' self-confidence and self-efficacy under different teacher expectations could be carried further with how it impacts the academic performances.

Investigating How Educational Technology and Data-Driven Insights Influence Expectations and Outcomes for Aligned Teachers through Personalized Learning Platforms and AI Analytics More classrooms are embracing new learning platforms and AI analytics. A study to reveal how these tools can influence teachers to more individualized and realistic expectations could revolutionize the traditional classroom interaction.

Collaborative learning and peer influence: Further studies may be necessary to fully examine the impact of peer collaboration on reducing the potential of misaligned teacher expectations. Research into how peer groups affect achievement and how

teacher expectations change within collaborative learning environments may generate new solutions for improving alignment. Communication Models between Teachers and Students: Further research in the more formalized and even continuous loops of feedback between teachers and students can identify the effect that ongoing dialogue and reflection have upon the match between expectations and achievement. Models for effective classroom communication may help fill the gaps which exist within the areas of teacher expectations and student performance.

Conclusion

Teacher expectations, and student achievements relate to each other in an influential and complex way, although they are not all the time in perfect alignment with each other. Many things about the performance of students can be shaped by teacher expectations. Many times, self-fulfilling prophecies result from the way in which teacher expectations lead: "high expectations generate successful behaviors; low expectations breed failure." However, the finding of the present study reveals that various factors such as socioeconomic status, learning styles, cultural backgrounds, and teacher biases cause a disconnection between expectations and outcomes. When the expectations are realistic and elaborated based on individual students' needs, there is a very strong correlation with greater academic achievement. However, imbalanced expectations can be too high or too low, and negative consequences may result in demotivation, anxiety, and underperformance. It calls for teachers to be versatile in their teaching approach, student-centered, accommodating different learning profiles, and personal challenges. Open communication between the teachers and students, including peer interactions, would improve alignment further and lead to better educational outcomes. This means that constant professional development on setting a level of expectation that is fair and inclusive should come with tailored learning strategies. This creates a much more balanced and supportive classroom environment in this regard. To summarize, although teacher expectations become focus in regards to students' achievement, ensuring they are in line necessitates a very dynamic and adaptive approach that teaches about equity, feedback, and understanding of individual needs of each student.

References

1. Babad E. (1992). Teacher expectancies and nonverbal behavior. In R. S. Feldman (Ed.), *Applications of nonverbal behavioral theories and research* (pp. 167–190). Hillsdale, NJ: Erlbaum.
2. Babad, E., Bernieri, F., & Rosenthal, R. (1989). Nonverbal communication and leakage in the behavior of biased and unbiased teachers. *Journal of Personality and Social Psychology*, 56, 89–94. doi:10.1037/0022-3514.56.1.89
3. Barnes, E. M. S. (1973). The effects of using a self-instructional module on teacher perceptions of attitudes and values of disadvantaged inner-city black youth (Unpublished doctoral dissertation). Ohio State University, Columbus, OH.
4. Bradberry, B. (2023). Emotional intelligence.
5. Bru-Luna, L. M., Martí-Vilar, M., Merino-Soto, C., & Cervera-Santiago, J. L. (2021, December). Emotional intelligence measures: A systematic review. In *Healthcare* (Vol. 9, No. 12, p. 1696). MDPI.
6. Christie, P., & Lingard, B. (2020). *Teachers and schooling making a difference: Productive pedagogies, assessment and performance*. Routledge.
7. Fteiha, M., & Awwad, N. (2020). Emotional intelligence and its relationship with stress coping style. *Health psychology open*, 7(2), 2055102920970416.
8. Ghanizadeh, A., & Moafian, F. (2010). The role of EFL teachers' emotional intelligence in their success. *ELT journal*, 64(4), 424-435.
9. Gu, Q., & Day, C. (2007). Teachers resilience: A necessary condition for effectiveness. *Teaching and Teacher Education*, 23(8), 1302-1316. Halimi, F., AlShammari, I., & Navarro, C.

- (2021). Emotional intelligence and academic achievement in higher education. *Journal of Applied Research in Higher Education*, 13(2), 485-503.
10. Klassen, R. M. (2010). Teacher stress: The mediating role of collective efficacy beliefs. *The Journal of educational research*, 103(5), 342-350
 11. Khassawneh, O., Mohammad, T., Ben-Abdallah, R., & Alabidi, S. (2022). The relationship between emotional intelligence and educators' performance in higher education sector. *Behavioral Sciences*, 12(12), 511.1.
 12. Kumar, J. A., & Muniandy, B. (2012). The Influence of Demographic Profiles on Emotional Intelligence: A Study on Polytechnic Lecturers in Malaysia. *International Online Journal of Educational Sciences*, 2012, 4(1), 62-70.
 13. Lerner, J. S., Dorison, C. A., & Klusowski, J. (2023). How do emotions affect decision making?. *Emotion theory: The Routledge comprehensive guide*, 447-468.
 14. Leow, L. P., Phua, L. K., & Teh, S. Y. (2021). Extending the social influence factor: Behavioural intention to increase the usage of information and communication technology-enhanced student-centered teaching methods. *Educational Technology Research and Development*, 69(3), 1853-1879.
 15. Neale, S., Spencer-Arnell, L., & Wilson, L. (2011). Emotional intelligence coaching: improving performance for leaders, coaches and the individual. Kogan Page.
 16. Sabie, O. M., BRICARIU, R. M., PÎRVU, C., & Gatan, M. L. (2020). The relationship between emotional intelligence and human resources employee performance: A case study for Romanian companies. *Management Research & Practice*, 12(3).
 17. Schoon, I. (2021). Towards an integrative taxonomy of social-emotional competences. *Frontiers in Psychology*, 12, 515313.
 18. Saroyan, A., & Amundsen, C. (Eds.). (2023). Rethinking teaching in higher education: From a course design workshop to a faculty development framework. Taylor & Francis.
 19. Smith, C., & Gillespie, M. (2023). Research on professional development and teacher change: Implications for adult basic education. In *Review of Adult Learning and Literacy*, Volume 7 (pp. 205-244). Routledge.
 20. Sobek, E. E., & Reister, M. (2020). Preventing challenging behavior: 10 behavior management strategies every teacher should know. *Preventing School Failure: Alternative Education for Children and Youth*, 65(1), 70-78.
 21. Sudjono, S. (2022). Work Stress Mediates Motivation and Discipline on Teacher Performance: Evidence Work from Home Policy. *Journal of Educational and Social Research*, 12(3), 80-89.
 22. Thornton, B., Zunino, B., & Beattie, J. (2020). Moving the dial: Improving teacher efficacy to promote instructional change. *Education*, 140(4), 171-180.
 23. Valente, S., Veiga-Branco, A., Rebelo, H., Lourenço, A. A., & Cristóvão, A. M. (2020). The relationship between emotional intelligence ability and teacher efficacy.
 24. Bennett, R. E., Gottesman, R. L., Rock, D. A., & Cerullo, F. (1993). Influence of behavior perceptions and gender on teachers' judgments of students' academic skill. *Journal of Educational Psychology*, 85, 347-356. doi:10.1037/0022-0663.85.2.347
 25. Borenstein, M., Hedges, L. V., Higgins, J. P. T., & Rothstein, H. R. (2009). *Introduction to meta-analysis*. Chichester: Wiley.
 26. Brophy, J. E. (1983). Research on the self-fulfilling prophecy and teacher expectations. *Journal of Educational Psychology*, 75, 631-661. doi:10.1037/0022-0663.75.5.631
 27. Cohen, J. (1988). *Statistical power analysis for the behavioral sciences* (2nd ed.). Hillsdale, NJ: Lawrence Erlbaum.
 28. Cooper, H. M. (1977). *Intervening in expectation communication: The "alterability" of teacher expectations*. Columbia, MO: Center for Research in Social Behavior. Cooper, H. M., & Tom, D. Y. H. (1984). Teacher expectation research: A review with implications for classroom instruction. *The Elementary School Journal*, 85, 76-89. doi:10.1086/461393.
 29. *Dagley, P. L. (1988). *The utility of cognitive self-instruction in altering teacher expectations and locus of control orientations* (Unpublished doctoral dissertation). University of Georgia, Athens, GA.

30. De Boer, H., Bosker, R. J., & Van der Werf, M. P. C. (2010). Sustainability of teacher expectation bias effects on long-term student performance. *Journal of Educational Psychology*, 102, 168–179. doi:10.1037/a0017289
31. Dworkin, N. E. (1979). Changing teachers' negative expectations. *Academic Therapy*, 14, 517–531.
32. *Fisher, T. A. (2013). The impact of multiple intelligence theory on teacher perception of giftedness and the referral of African American students to gifted and talented education programs (Doctoral dissertation). Available from ProQuest Dissertations and Theses database. (UMI No. 1434728).
33. Glock, S., & Krolak-Schwerdt, S. (2013). Does nationality matter? The impact of stereotypical expectations of student teachers' judgments. *Social Psychology of Education*, 16, 111–127. doi:10.1007/s11218-012-9197-z .
34. Glock, S., Krolak-Schwerdt, S., Klapproth, F., & Böhmer, M. (2013). Beyond judgment bias: How students' ethnicity and academic profile consistency influence teachers' tracking judgments. *Social Psychology of Education*, 16, 555–573. doi:10.1007/s11218-013-9227-5
35. Good, T. L. (1987). Two decades of research on teacher expectations: Findings and future directions. *Journal of Teacher Education*, 38, 32–47. doi:10.1177/002248718703800406.
36. Good, T. L., & Brophy, J. E. (1974). Changing teacher and student behavior: An empirical investigation. *Journal of Educational Psychology*, 66, 390–405. doi:10.1037/h0036499.
37. Gottfredson, D. C., Marciniak, E. M., Birdseye, A. T., & Gottfredson, G. D. (1995). Increasing teacher expectations for student achievement. *The Journal of Educational Research*, 88, 155–163. doi:10.1080/00220671.1995.9941294.
38. Hattie, J. (2009). *Visible learning: A synthesis of over 800 meta-analyses relating to achievement*. Abingdon: Routledge.
39. Hedges, L. V. (2007). Effect sizes in cluster-randomized designs. *Journal of Educational and Behavioral Statistics*, 32, 341–370. doi:10.3102/1076998606298043.
40. Hinnant, J. B., O'Brien, M., & Ghazarian, S. R. (2009). The longitudinal relations of teacher expectations to achievement in the early school years. *Journal of Educational Psychology*, 101, 662–670. doi:10.1037/a0014306.
41. Hughes, J. N., Gleason, K. A., & Zhang, D. (2005). Relationship influences on teachers' perceptions of academic competence in academically at-risk minority and majority first grade students. *Journal of School Psychology*, 43, 303–320. doi:10.1016/j.jsp.2005.07.001.
42. *Jones, J. N., Miron, G., & Kelaher-Young, A. J. (2012). The Kalamazoo promise and perceived changes in teacher beliefs, expectations, and behaviors. *The Journal of Educational Research*, 105, 36–51. doi:10.1080/00220671.2010.517575.
43. *Jones, S. C. (1990). The effects of the teacher expectations and student achievement program (TESA) on the reading achievement and self-esteem of suburban high school students (Unpublished doctoral dissertation). Morgan State University, Baltimore, MD.
44. Jussim, L., & Eccles, J. S. (1992). Teacher expectations: II. Construction and reflection of students' achievement. *Journal of Personality and Social Psychology*, 63, 947–961. doi:10.1037/0022-3514.63.6.947.
45. Jussim, L., Eccles, J., & Madon, S. (1996). Social perception, social stereotypes, and teacher expectations: Accuracy and the quest for the powerful self-fulfilling prophecy. In M. P. Zanna (Ed.), *Advances in experimental social psychology* (Vol. 28, pp. 281–388). New York, NY: Academic Press. doi:10.1016/S0065-2601(08)60240-3.
46. Jussim, L., & Harber, K. D. (2005). Teacher expectations and self-fulfilling prophecies: Knowns and unknowns, resolved and unresolved controversies. *Personality and Social Psychology Review*, 9, 131–155. doi:10.1207/s15327957pspr0902_3.
47. *Kerman, S. (1979). "Why did you call on me? I didn't have my hand up!": Teacher expectations and student achievement. *Phi Delta Kappan*, 60, 716–718.
48. *Kohler, P. A. (1987). The effects of the teacher expectations and student achievement model on the achievement and self-concept of mildly handicapped students receiving resource room instruction (Unpublished doctoral dissertation). Memphis State University, Memphis, TN.

48. Madon, S., Jussim, L., & Eccles, J. (1997). In search of the powerful self-fulfilling prophecy. *Journal of Personality and Social Psychology*, 72, 791–809. doi:10.1037/0022-3514.72.4.791.
49. McKown, C., & Weinstein, R. S. (2008). Teacher expectations, classroom context, and the achievement gap. *Journal of School Psychology*, 46, 235–261. doi:10.1016/j.jsp.2007.05.001.
50. *Perrella, C. J. (2017). Exploring the influence of an early talent development program on teacher perceptions of giftedness in culturally and linguistically diverse students (Doctoral dissertation, Northeastern University, Boston, MA). Retrieved from <https://repository.library.northeastern.edu/files/neu:cj82q089m/fulltext.pdf>
51. Peters, J. L., Sutton, A. J., Jones, D. R., Abrams, K. R., & Rushton, L. (2007). Performance of the trim and fill method in the presence of publication bias and between-study heterogeneity. *Statistics in Medicine*, 26, 4544–4562. doi:10.1002/sim.2889
52. Ready D. D., & Wright, D. L. (2011). Accuracy and inaccuracy in teachers' perceptions of young children's cognitive abilities: The role of child background and classroom context. *American Educational Research Journal*, 48, 335–360. doi:10.3102/0002831210374874.
53. *Reiter, A. B., & Davis, S. N. (2011). Factors influencing pre-service teachers' beliefs about student achievement: Evaluation of a pre-service teacher diversity awareness programme. *Multicultural Education*, 18, 41–46.
54. Research for Better Schools. (1987). Teacher expectations action packet. Research, strategies and programmes for special populations. Philadelphia, PA: Author.
55. Riley, T., & Ungerleider, C. (2012). Self-fulfilling prophecy: How teachers' attributions, expectations and stereotypes influence the learning opportunities afforded Aboriginal students. *Canadian Journal of Education*, 35, 303–333.
56. Rosenthal, R., & Jacobson, L. (1968). *Pygmalion in the classroom: Teacher expectations and pupils' intellectual development*. New York, NY: Holt, Rinehart and Winston.
57. Rubie-Davies, C. M. (2007). Classroom interactions: Exploring the practices of high- and low-expectation teachers. *British Journal of Educational Psychology*, 77, 289–306. doi:10.1348/000709906X101601.
58. Rubie-Davies, C. M. (2008). Teacher expectations. In T. Good (Ed.), *21st century education: A reference handbook* (pp. I-254–I-265). Thousand Oaks, CA: SAGE. doi:10.4135/9781412964012.n27.
59. Rubie-Davies, C. M. (2010). Teacher expectations and perceptions of student attributes: Is there a relationship? *British Journal of Educational Psychology*, 80, 121–135. doi:10.1348/000709909X466334.
60. Smith, D. R. (2007). Changing teachers' beliefs about students and learning. In D. W. Lick & C. U. Murphy (Eds.), *The whole-faculty study groups fieldbook: Lessons learned and best practices from classrooms, districts and schools* (pp. 196–201). Thousand Oaks, CA: Corwin Press.
61. Sorhagen, N. S. (2013). Early teacher expectations disproportionately affect poor children's high school performance. *Journal of Educational Psychology*, 105, 465–477. doi:10.1037/a0031754.
62. Speybroeck, S., Kuppens, S., Van Damme, J., Van Petegem, P., Lamote, C., Boonen, T., & De Bilde, J. (2012). The role of teachers' expectations in the association between children's SES and performance in kindergarten: A moderated mediation analysis. *PloS ONE*, 7(4): e34502. doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0034502 EDUCATIONAL RESEARCH AND EVALUATION 199.
63. Tenenbaum, H. R., & Ruck, M. D. (2007). Are teachers' expectations different for racial minority than for European American students? A meta-analysis. *Journal of Educational Psychology*, 99, 253–273. doi:10.1037/0022-0663.99.2.253.
64. Timmermans, A. C., Kuyper, H., & Van der Werf, G. (2015). Accurate, inaccurate or biased teacher expectations: Do Dutch teachers differ in their expectations at the end of primary education? *British Journal of Educational Psychology*, 85, 459–478. doi:10.1111/bjep.12087.

65. *Timperley, H. S., & Phillips, G. (2003). Changing and sustaining teachers' expectations through professional development in literacy. *Teaching and Teacher Education*, 19, 627–641. doi:10.1016/S0742-051X(03)00058-1.
66. Van den Bergh, L., Denessen, E., Hornstra, L., Voeten, M., & Holland, R. W. (2010). The implicit prejudiced attitudes of teachers: Relations to teacher expectations and the ethnic achievement gap. *American Educational Research Journal*, 47, 497–527. doi:10.3102/0002831209353594.

